



SELECCIONES MATEMÁTICAS

Universidad Nacional de Trujillo

ISSN: 2411-1783 (Online)

2026; Vol.13(1):23-44.



Well-posedness for a Fourth-Order PDE with Linear Dissipation and its Generalization

Yolanda Silvia Santiago Ayala 

Received, Feb. 03, 2026;

Accepted, Jun. 21, 2026;

Published, Jul. 27, 2026



How to cite this article:

Santiago Y. Well-posedness for a Fourth-Order PDE with Linear Dissipation and its Generalization. *Selecciones Matemáticas*. 2026;13(1):23–44. <https://doi.org/10.17268/sel.mat.2026.01.03>

Abstract

In this work, we demonstrate that the Cauchy problem associated to the fourth-order equation with dissipation in periodic Sobolev spaces has a unique solution and possesses the property of continuous dependence on the initial data. Moreover, compared to the third-order case, in the fourth-order case we obtain more regularity for the solution. We perform this in an intuitive manner using Fourier theory and in an abstract version using semigroup theory. Furthermore, by employing a different method, we evidence the uniqueness of the solution of this problem through its dissipative nature, motivated by the contributions of Iorio [1] and Santiago [2]. In order to increase and enrich our study, we investigate the infinite dimensional space in which differentiability occurs and its connection with the initial data. Finally, we generalize our results to the equations of n th order where n is a natural number multiple of four.

Keywords . Semigroups theory, fourth-order equation, dissipative property of problem, n th order equation, periodic Sobolev spaces, Fourier theory.

1. Introduction. We will study the following problem:

$$(P_1) : \quad u_t + \partial_x^4 u + au = 0 \text{ in } H_{per}^{s-4} \text{ with } u(0) = \varphi \in H_{per}^s,$$

considering $a > 0$, s a real number and denoting H_{per}^s as the periodic Sobolev space. We will prove that (P_1) problem is well-posed. Compared to the third-order case, in the fourth-order case we will obtain more regularity, that is, $u(t) \in H_{per}^r, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, perturbing the fourth-order dissipative system studied in [3] by a linear operator that dilates or contracts; we will also obtain that the (P_1) is a dissipative system. In addition, we will give a family of operators that becomes a semigroup, achieving beautiful results through operators and differential calculus in Banach spaces. To increase and enrich our study, we will investigate the infinite-dimensional space in which differentiability occurs and its connection to the initial data. We will analyze also the case $a = 0$. Finally, we will generalize the results to the n th-order equation.

We can cite [3], for some results related to a part of model (P_1) , that is, the case $a = 0$. And we cite [1] for being a source of inspiration for this work.

We also mention some works on existence of solutions by semigroups [4], [5], [6] and take support in some results of [7] and [8].

The structure of our article is as follows. In section 2, we outline the methodology used and provide the citations for references consulted. In section 3, we prove that problem (P_1) is well posed. Moreover, we introduce a family of operators that form a semigroup of class C_0 to state the result Theorem 3.3 and prove it in an abstract version. In section 4, we study the generalization to n th order equation. Here we use the semigroups theory of contraction, and obtain important results of approximation, existence

*Department of Mathematics, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima - Perú. **Correspondence author** (ysantiago@unmsm.edu.pe).

and regularity. In section 5, we obtain other results related to the dissipative property of (P_1) and some estimates of it, through the use of differential calculus on H_{per}^s . Also, we get their generalization and some remarks. In section 6, to increase and enrich our study, we investigate the infinite-dimensional space in which differentiability occurs and its connection with the initial data, for $a \geq 0$. Finally, in section 7, we present the conclusions of our study.

2. Methodology . In this article, we mainly employ [1] as the theoretical framework. In addition, we use the references [3], [9], [10], [2] and [11] for the Fourier theory in H_{per}^s , differential and integral calculus in Banach spaces.

3. The (P_1) problem is well-posed. We will prove that the Cauchy problem (P_1) is well-posed. Also, we will introduce a family of operators that form a contraction semigroup of class C_o , as we will make it in Theorem 3.2.

Finally, we will state Theorem 3.3 whose content is a fine version of the Theorem 3.1 based on the semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let s be a fixed real number, $a > 0$ and*

$$(P_1) \quad \begin{cases} u \in C([0, +\infty), H_{per}^s) \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x^4 u + au = 0 \in H_{per}^{s-4} \\ u(0) = \psi \in H_{per}^s. \end{cases}$$

then (P_1) is globally well-posed, that is, $\exists u \in C([0, \infty), H_{per}^s) \cap C((0, \infty), H_{per}^{s-4})$ satisfying equation (P_1) so that the application: $\psi \longrightarrow u$, which to every initial data ψ assigns the solution u of the IVP (P_1) , is continuous. That is, for ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ initial data close in H_{per}^s , their corresponding solutions u and $\tilde{u}$, respectively, are also close in the solution space.

In addition,

$$\|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_s \leq e^{-at} \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s \leq \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s, \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty)$$

and

$$\sup_{t>0} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_s \leq \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s$$

are verified.

Moreover, the solution u satisfies $u(t) \in H_{per}^r$, $\forall t \geq 0$, $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\|u(t)\|_s \leq e^{-at} \|\psi\|_s \leq \|\psi\|_s$ and $\exists C > 0$, $\|u(t)\|_r \leq C \|\psi\|_s$, $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall t > 0$.

Also, the application: $\psi \longrightarrow \partial_t u$, which to every initial data ψ assigns the derivate of solution u of the IVP (P_1) , is continuous. That is, for ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ initial data close in H_{per}^s , their corresponding $\partial_t u$ and $\partial_t \tilde{u}$, respectively, are also close in the solution space.

Additionally, the following inequalities are satisfied

$$\|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t)\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2 \cdot \sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

and

$$\sup_{t>0} \|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t)\|_{s-4} \leq 2 \cdot \sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s.$$

Moreover, $\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2 \cdot \sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\psi\|_s$, $\forall t > 0$.

Proof: We prove it in the following manner.

1. First, we will obtain the candidate for the solution. To achieve this, we apply the Fourier transform to

$$\partial_t u = -\partial_x^4 u - au$$

and obtain

$$\partial_t \hat{u}(k, t) = -(ik)^4 \hat{u}(k, t) - a \hat{u}(k, t) = -(k^4 + a) \hat{u}(k, t),$$

which for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an ODE with initial data $\hat{u}(k, 0) = \hat{\psi}(k)$.

Therefore, solving the IVP's

$$(\Omega_k) \quad \begin{cases} u \in C([0, +\infty), l_s^2(\mathbb{Z})) \\ \partial_t \hat{u}(k, \tau) = -k^4 \hat{u}(k, \tau) - a \hat{u}(k, \tau) \\ \hat{u}(k, 0) = \hat{\psi}(k) \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\widehat{u}(k, \tau) = e^{-k^4 \tau} e^{-a\tau} \widehat{\psi}(k),$$

from which we get our candidate for the solution:

$$u(\tau) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \widehat{u}(k, \tau) \varphi_k = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-k^4 \tau} e^{-a\tau} \widehat{\psi}(k) \varphi_k; \quad (3.1)$$

here we are denoting $\varphi_k(x) = e^{ikx}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. Second, we will prove

$$u(\tau) \in H_{per}^s \text{ and } \|u(\tau)\|_s \leq e^{-a\tau} \|\psi\|_s \leq \|\psi\|_s, \quad \forall \tau \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Indeed, let $\tau > 0$, $\psi \in H_{per}^s$, using $0 < e^{-\theta} \leq 1, \forall \theta \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\tau)\|_s^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \cdot |e^{-k^4 \tau} e^{-a\tau} \widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s e^{-2k^4 \tau} e^{-2a\tau} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 < \infty \\ &\leq e^{-2a\tau} \|\psi\|_s^2 \leq \|\psi\|_s^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

It is evident that (3.2) is satisfied for $\tau = 0$.

3. We will prove that $u(\cdot)$ is continuous in $[0, +\infty)$. Indeed, let $t', t \in (0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u(t')\|_s^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \cdot |(e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} - e^{-k^4 t'} e^{-at'}) \widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |H(t)|^2 |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $H(t) := e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} - e^{-k^4 t'} e^{-at'}$.

We see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} H(t) = 0$.

To ensure the interchange of limits we need the uniform convergence of series (3.4). For this we will bound the k -th term of the series, that is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,t} : &= 2\pi (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \left| e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} - e^{-k^4 t'} e^{-at'} \right|^2 \\ &\leq 8\pi (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the triangle inequality and the inequality $0 < e^{-\theta} \leq 1$ for $\theta \geq 0$. Thus,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_{k,t} \leq 4\|\psi\|_s^2 < \infty,$$

and using the Weierstrass M-Test, we obtain that the series (3.4) converges uniformly. So, we can interchange limits and get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} \|u(t) - u(t')\|_s^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} I_{k,t}}_{=0} = 0$$

and then we conclude

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} \|u(t) - u(t')\|_s = 0.$$

It is evident that u is continuous to the right of zero. To prove this, we use the same technique, considering $t' = 0$.

4. Let $t > 0$ and $t + h > 0$, we will prove

$$\left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(t) + au(t) \right\|_{s-4} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ when } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, let $t + h > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(t) + au(t) \right\|_{s-4}^2 \\
 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \left| \frac{e^{-k^4(t+h)} e^{-a(t+h)} - e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at}}{h} + [(ik)^4 + a] e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} \right|^2 \\
 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 e^{-2k^4 t} e^{-2at} |M(h)|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $M(h) := \left\{ \frac{e^{-k^4 h} e^{-ah} - 1}{h} + k^4 + a \right\}$.

Applying L'Hôpital's rule we obtain $M(h) \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$.

To ensure the interchange of limits, we need the uniform convergence of the series (3.5). For this we will bound the k -th term of the series. Previously, for $h > 0$, we analyze

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{e^{-k^4 h} e^{-ah} - 1}{h} &= \int_0^h \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \{e^{-k^4 r} e^{-ar}\} dr \\
 &= \int_0^h \frac{1}{h} [-k^4 - a] e^{-k^4 r} e^{-ar} dr
 \end{aligned}$$

and taking norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{e^{-k^4 h} e^{-ah} - 1}{h} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{h} | -k^4 - a | \int_0^h e^{-k^4 r} e^{-ar} dr \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{h} (|k|^4 + a) \cdot h \\
 &= |k|^4 + a.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Using the inequality (3.6), we are going to bound $|M(h)|^2$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |M(h)|^2 &\leq 16 \max\{1, a^2\} (k^8 + 1) \\
 &= 16 \max\{1, a^2\} (1 + (k^2)^4) \\
 &\leq 16 \max\{1, a^2\} (1 + k^2)^4.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

For $h < 0$ such that $0 < t + h$ we analyze

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} - e^{-k^4(t+h)} e^{-a(t+h)}}{h} &= \int_{t+h}^t \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \{e^{-k^4 r} e^{-ar}\} dr \\
 &= \int_{t+h}^t \frac{1}{h} [-k^4 - a] e^{-k^4 r} e^{-ar} dr
 \end{aligned}$$

and taking norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} - e^{-k^4(t+h)} e^{-a(t+h)}}{h} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{|h|} | -k^4 - a | \int_{t+h}^t e^{-k^4 r} e^{-ar} dr \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|h|} (k^4 + a) \cdot (-h) \\
 &= k^4 + a \leq \max\{1, a\} (1 + k^2)^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Let us bound the k -th term of the series (3.5) using estimation (3.7) for $h > 0$ and (3.8) for $h < 0$, and denoting $16 \max\{1, a^2\}$ by C_a :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1+k^2)^{s-4} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 e^{-2k^4 t} e^{-2at} |M(h)|^2 &\leq (1+k^2)^{s-4} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 C_a (1+k^2)^4 \\
 &= C_a (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since

$$2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 = \|\psi\|_s^2 < \infty$$

for $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ and using the Weierstrass M-Test we get that the series (3.5) converges uniformly. So, it is possible to interchange of limits and obtain

$$\left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(t) + au(t) \right\|_{s-4}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \text{ when } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.9)$$

5. We will demonstrate the continuous dependence of the solution with respect to the initial data, that is, let ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ be close data in H_{per}^s , then their corresponding solutions u and $\tilde{u}$, respectively, are also close in the solution space. Let $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_s^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \left| e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} \left\{ \widehat{\psi}(k) - \widehat{\tilde{\psi}}(k) \right\} \right|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) - \widehat{\tilde{\psi}}(k) \right|^2 \\ &= e^{-2at} \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s^2 \leq \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Taking supremum over $(0, \infty)$ we have

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_s \leq \|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, we have: if $\psi \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}$ then $u \rightarrow \tilde{u}$.

6. Now, we will prove the uniqueness of solution. Inequalities (3.11) or (3.10) will enable us to demonstrate that the solution is unique. Effectively, let $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ and suppose there are two solutions u and $\tilde{u}$, then using (3.11) we have,

$$\|u(\tau) - \tilde{u}(\tau)\|_s \leq \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_s \leq \|\psi - \psi\|_s = 0, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

from where we conclude that $u = \tilde{u}$.

Thus, the (P_1) problem is well-posed, and its unique solution is

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} \widehat{\psi}(k) \varphi_k$$

which depends continuously on the initial data.

7. Now, we analyse the case $r < s$. Under this condition we have $H_{per}^s \subset H_{per}^r$ and since the initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$, then $\psi \in H_{per}^r$ and satisfies

$$\|\psi\|_r \leq \|\psi\|_s. \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.3) for r and using (3.12) we get

$$\|u(t)\|_r^2 \leq e^{-2at} \|\psi\|_r^2 \leq \|\psi\|_r^2 \leq \|\psi\|_s^2 < \infty.$$

That is,

$$u(t) \in H_{per}^r, \quad \forall r \in (-\infty, s). \quad (3.13)$$

The case $r = s$ has already been proved in item 2.

Let $t > 0$, for $r > s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_r^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^r \left| e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 \underbrace{e^{-2k^4 t} e^{-2at} (1+k^2)^{r-s}}_{\tilde{G}(k,t)} \\ &\leq \xi^* \cdot 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 = \xi^* \|\psi\|_s^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{G}(k, t) \leq \xi^*, \forall k \in Z, t > 0$. So

$$u(t) \in H_{per}^r, \forall r \in (s, +\infty). \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore, from (3.2), (3.13) and (3.14), for $t \in (0, +\infty)$ we conclude

$$u(t) \in H_{per}^r, \forall r \in R$$

and $\exists C := \max\{1, \sqrt{\xi^*}\}$ such that $\|u(t)\|_r \leq C\|\psi\|_s, \forall r \in R, \forall t > 0$.

8. We will demonstrate that $\partial_t u(\cdot)$ is continuous in $(0, +\infty)$. Let $t > 0$ and $t' > 0$, using the inequality $\|\partial_x^m u(t)\|_{s-m} \leq \|u(t)\|_s$ and continuity of $u(\cdot)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t u(t')\|_{s-4} &= \|\partial_x^4 u(t) - au(t) + \partial_x^4 u(t') + au(t')\|_{s-4} \\ &\leq \|\partial_x^4 [u(t) - u(t')]\|_{s-4} + a\|u(t) - u(t')\|_{s-4} \\ &\leq (1+a)\|u(t) - u(t')\|_s \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

when $t \rightarrow t'$. That is, $\partial_t u \in C((0, \infty), H_{per}^{s-4})$.

9. Let $\psi \in H_{per}^s$, if we define

$$W(t)\psi = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-k^4 - a)e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} \hat{\psi}(k) \varphi_k$$

then $W(t)\psi \in H_{per}^{s-4}$ and $\|W(t)\psi\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2[\max\{1, a^2\}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_s, \forall t > 0$.

That is, $W(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{s-4})$ with $\|W(t)\| \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2[\max\{1, a^2\}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Indeed, using $|k^4 + a|^2 \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\}(k^8 + 1) \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\}(1 + k^2)^4, \forall k \in Z$, the inequality $0 < e^{-\theta} \leq 1$, for $\theta \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|W(t)\psi\|_{s-4}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} |(-k^4 - a)e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} \hat{\psi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} |k^4 + a|^2 |\hat{\psi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 4 \max\{1, a^2\} 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\hat{\psi}(k)|^2 < \infty \\ &= e^{-2at} \cdot 4 \max\{1, a^2\} \|\psi\|_s^2 \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\} \|\psi\|_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

10. By considering items 4 and 9, we conclude $\partial_t u(t) = W(t)\psi$. □

An immediate consequence is the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *The unique solution of (P_1) is*

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} \hat{\psi}(k) \varphi_k$$

where $\varphi_k(x) = e^{ikx}$ for $x \in R$.

Also, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Based on the hypothesis of the previous Theorem, we obtain*

1. $u \in C((0, \infty), H_{per}^r) \cap C^1((0, \infty), H_{per}^{r-4}), \forall r \in R$.
2. u satisfies: $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_r \leq C\|\psi\|_s, \forall t > 0, \forall r \in R. \quad (3.16)$$

$$\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{r-4} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi\|_s, \forall t > 0, \forall r \in R. \quad (3.17)$$

3. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_r &\leq C\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s, \forall t > 0, \forall r \in R, \\ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|_r &\leq C\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s, \forall r \in R. \end{aligned}$$

4. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t)\|_{r-4} &\leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall r \in R, \\ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|\partial_t u(t) - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t)\|_{r-4} &\leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi - \tilde{\psi}\|_s, \quad \forall r \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Through the use of the continuous Sobolev embedding, we obtain the inequality (3.16). We will use the continuous Sobolev embedding and item 9 for prove that if $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then $W(t)\psi \in H_{per}^{r-4}$ and $\exists C > 0$ such that $\|W(t)\psi\|_{r-4} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi\|_s$, $\forall t > 0$, $\forall r \in R$. That is, $W(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{r-4})$ with $\|W(t)\| \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}$, $\forall r \in R$. Indeed, using $|k^4 + a|^2 \leq 4\max\{1, a^2\}(1 + k^2)^4$, $\forall k \in Z$ and $0 < e^{-\theta} \leq 1$, $\forall \theta \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|W(t)\psi\|_{r-4}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^{r-4} |(-k^4 - a)e^{-k^4 t} e^{-at} \widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^{r-4} |k^4 + a|^2 |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 8\pi \max\{1, a^2\} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^r |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 8\pi \max\{1, a^2\} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^s |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 < \infty \\ &= e^{-2at} \cdot 4\max\{1, a^2\} \|\psi\|_s^2 \leq 4\max\{1, a^2\} \|\psi\|_s^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

for $r \leq s$. If $r > s$, we proceed as in item 7 of the proof from Theorem 3.1. $\square$

In this point, we will introduce a family of operators which will meet the requirement of being a contraction semigroup of class C_o .

Theorem 3.2. Let $s \in R$ and $a > 0$. The application

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} : [0, \infty) &\rightarrow L(H_{per}^s) \\ t &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}(t) \end{aligned}$$

such that $\mathcal{S}(t) = e^{-(\partial_x^4 + aI)t}$, that is, applies

$$\mathcal{S}(t)\varphi = \left[\left(e^{(-k^4 - a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in Z} \right]^\vee, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s,$$

then $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a contraction semigroup of class C_o on H_{per}^s and $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\| \leq e^{-at}$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Additionally, the following statements hold:

1. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)\varphi \in C([0, \infty), H_{per}^s)$.
2. The application: $\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\cdot)\varphi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\|\mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_s \leq e^{-at} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty)$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|\mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_s \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s$$

with $\psi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

3. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^{s-4}$ and $\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\varphi\|_s$, $\forall t \in (0, \infty)$. That is, $\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{s-4})$, $\forall t \in (0, \infty)$, where

$$\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi = \left[\left((-k^4 - a)e^{-(k^4 + a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in Z} \right]^\vee \in H_{per}^{s-4}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s.$$

4. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{S}(\cdot)\varphi \in C((0, \infty), H_{per}^{s-4})$.
5. The application: $\psi \rightarrow \partial_t \mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty)$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_{s-4} \leq 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s$$

with $\psi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof: Initially, we observe $\mathcal{S}(0)\varphi = [(\widehat{\varphi}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}]^\vee = [\widehat{\varphi}]^\vee = \varphi$, $\forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s$; that is, $\mathcal{S}(0) = I$. From the linearity of the Fourier transform and its inverse, we obtain the linearity of $\mathcal{S}(t)$. Indeed, let $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi, \psi \in H_{per}^s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(t)(\sigma\varphi + \psi) &= \left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)t} [\sigma\varphi + \psi]^\wedge(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \\ &= \left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)t} [\sigma\widehat{\varphi}(k) + \widehat{\psi}(k)] \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \\ &= \left[\sigma \left(e^{(-k^4-a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} + \left(e^{(-k^4-a)t} \widehat{\psi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \\ &= \sigma \left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee + \left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)t} \widehat{\psi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \\ &= \sigma \mathcal{S}(t)(\varphi) + \mathcal{S}(t)(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$.

If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ and $t > 0$, we will demonstrate that $\mathcal{S}(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ and $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_s \leq e^{-at}\|\varphi\|_s$; that is $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\| \leq e^{-at}$.

Indeed, similar to (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_s^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \left| e^{(-k^4-a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= e^{-2at} \cdot \|\varphi\|_s^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{S}(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ and $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_s \leq e^{-at} \cdot \|\varphi\|_s$, $\forall t \geq 0$.

Therefore,

$$\|\mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_s \leq e^{-at} \cdot \|\varphi\|_s, \forall t \geq 0, \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s. \quad (3.19)$$

That is,

$$\mathcal{S}(t) \in L(H_{per}^s) \text{ with } \|\mathcal{S}(t)\| \leq e^{-at}, \forall t \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.20)$$

From (3.20) we can say that the C_o - semigroup $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable.

At this point, we will demonstrate that $\mathcal{S}(t+r) = \mathcal{S}(t) \circ \mathcal{S}(r)$, $\forall t, r \in [0, \infty)$. Indeed, let $f \in H_{per}^s$ and $t, r \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(t+r)f &= \left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)(t+r)} \widehat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \\ &= \left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)t} e^{(-k^4-a)r} \widehat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

We know that if $f \in H_{per}^s$ then $\widehat{f} \in l_s^2$, that is

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 < \infty. \quad (3.22)$$

We affirm that

$$\left(e^{(-k^4-a)r} \widehat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l_s^2, \forall r \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.23)$$

Indeed, when r is zero, it is obvious that the statement is true. Thus, we will demonstrate the case $r > 0$. For this, using (3.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |e^{(-k^4-a)r} \widehat{f}(k)|^2 &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \underbrace{e^{-2k^4 r}}_{\leq 1} \underbrace{e^{-2ar}}_{< 1} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.23) and taking the inverse Fourier transform, we have

$$\left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)r} \widehat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \in H_{per}^s, \quad \forall r \in [0, \infty).$$

This motivates us to define

$$g_r := \left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)r} \widehat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \in H_{per}^s.$$

That is,

$$g_r = \mathcal{S}(r)f. \quad (3.24)$$

Taking the Fourier transform to g_r , we get

$$\widehat{g}_r = \left(e^{(-k^4-a)r} \widehat{f}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

that is,

$$\widehat{g}_r(k) = e^{(-k^4-a)r} \widehat{f}(k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.25)$$

Using (3.25) in (3.21) and from (3.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(t+r)f &= \left[\left(e^{(-k^4-a)t} \widehat{g}_r(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \\ &= \mathcal{S}(t)g_r \\ &= \mathcal{S}(t)\{\mathcal{S}(r)f\} \\ &= \{\mathcal{S}(t) \circ \mathcal{S}(r)\}f, \quad \forall t > 0, r > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{S}(t+r) = \mathcal{S}(t) \circ \mathcal{S}(r), \quad \forall t > 0, r > 0. \quad (3.26)$$

If t or r is zero, then the equality (3.26) is also true. Thus, we have demonstrated:

$$\mathcal{S}(t+r) = \mathcal{S}(t) \circ \mathcal{S}(r), \quad \forall t \geq 0, r \geq 0. \quad (3.27)$$

At this point, we will demonstrate the continuity of $t \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi$, that is, for $t > 0$

$$\|\mathcal{S}(t+h)\varphi - \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_s \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } h \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.28)$$

and $\|\mathcal{S}(h)\varphi - \varphi\|_s \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0^+$.

Indeed, by applying item 3 from the proof of previous Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{S}(t+h)\varphi - \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_s^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s \left| \left(e^{(-k^4-a)(t+h)} - e^{(-k^4-a)t} \right) \cdot \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 |\tilde{H}(t, h)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where $t+h > 0$ and $\tilde{H}(t, h) := e^{(-k^4-a)(t+h)} - e^{(-k^4-a)t}$.

We observe that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \tilde{H}(t, h) = 0$.

To ensure the interchange of limits, we need the uniform convergence of the series (3.29). For this we will bound the k -th term of the series, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,t,h} &:= 2\pi(1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \left| e^{(-k^4-a)(t+h)} - e^{(-k^4-a)t} \right|^2 \\ &\leq 8\pi(1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have employed the triangle inequality and $0 < e^{-\theta} \leq 1$ for all $\theta \geq 0$.

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_{k,t,h} \leq 4\|\varphi\|_s^2 < \infty, \quad (3.30)$$

and using the Weierstrass M-Test, we obtain that the series (3.29) or (3.30) converges uniformly. So, it is possible to interchange of limits and obtain

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{S}(t+h)\varphi - \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_s^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_{k,t,h}}_{=0} = 0;$$

that is,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{S}(t+h)\varphi - \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_s = 0.$$

Remark 3.1.

It is verified

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \|\mathcal{S}(h)\varphi - \varphi\|_s = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s.$$

To prove this, we use the same technique, considering $h > 0$ and $t = 0$.

Remark 3.2. *With the remark 3.1 we would have that $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of class C_0 .*

Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be close data in H_{per}^s , then we will prove that their corresponding $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi_1$ and $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi_2$ respectively, are also close. Indeed, since $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a contraction semigroup, we have

$$\|\mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_s = \|\mathcal{S}(t)(\psi_1 - \psi_2)\|_s \leq e^{-at} \cdot \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s.$$

Taking the supremum over $(0, \infty)$ we have

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|\mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_s \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s. \quad (3.31)$$

From here we have that if $\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2$ then $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi_2$.

We will prove: If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^{s-4}$ and $\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\varphi\|_s$.

Indeed, using $|k^4 + a|^2 \leq 4 \cdot \max\{1, a^2\}(1 + k^2)^4$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and inequality $0 < e^{-\theta} \leq 1$, $\forall \theta \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_{s-4}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} \left| (-k^4 - a)e^{(-k^4-a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} |k^4 + a|^2 |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2at} \cdot 8\pi \cdot \max\{1, a^2\} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 < \infty \\ &= e^{-2at} \cdot 4 \max\{1, a^2\} \|\varphi\|_s^2 \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\} \|\varphi\|_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\varphi\|_s$. From this inequality, we obtain

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s,$$

with $\psi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

So, taking supremum over $(0, \infty)$ we have

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_{s-4} \leq 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s.$$

Finally, if $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ we will demonstrate the continuity of $t \longrightarrow \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi$. That is,

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t+h)\varphi - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_{s-4} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ when } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, by applying item 3 from the proof of previous Theorem, we proceed

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t+h)\varphi - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_{s-4}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} \left| \left(e^{(-k^4-a)(t+h)} - e^{(-k^4-a)t} \right) \cdot (-k^4-a) \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} \left| \left(e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1 \right) e^{(-k^4-a)t} \cdot (-k^4-a) \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} \left| e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1 \right|^2 e^{-2k^4 t} \cdot e^{-2at} |k^4 + a|^2 |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} |\beta(h)|^2 |k^4 + a|^2 \cdot e^{-2k^4 t} \cdot e^{-2at} |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \quad (3.32) \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(h) := e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1$.

We observe that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \beta(h) = 0$.

To ensure the interchange of limits, we need the uniform convergence of the series (3.32). For this, we will bound the k -th term of the series, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,t,h} &= 2\pi (1+k^2)^{s-4} |\beta(h)|^2 |k^4 + a|^2 \cdot e^{-2k^4 t} e^{-2at} |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq 8\pi \cdot \max\{1, a^2\} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have employed the triangle inequality, $|k^4 + a|^2 \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\} (1+k^2)^4$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the inequality $0 < e^{-\theta} \leq 1$, $\forall \theta \geq 0$.

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_{k,t,h} \leq 4 \cdot \max\{1, a^2\} \|\varphi\|_s^2 < \infty, \quad (3.33)$$

and using the Weierstrass M-Test, we obtain that the series (3.33) converges uniformly. So, it is possible to interchange of limits and obtain

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t+h)\varphi - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_{s-4}^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_{k,t,h}}_{=0} = 0$$

hence, we conclude

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t+h)\varphi - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi\|_{s-4} = 0.$$

□

We will provide some additional properties of $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Corollary 3.3. *Based on the hypothesis of the previous Theorem, the following statements hold*

1. *If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\mathcal{S}(t)\phi \in H_{per}^r$ and $\exists C > 0$, $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\phi\|_r \leq C\|\phi\|_s$, $\forall t > 0$, $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $\mathcal{S}(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^r)$, $\forall t > 0$, $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$.*
2. *If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)\phi \in C((0, \infty), H_{per}^r)$, $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$.*
3. *The application: $\phi \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\cdot)\phi$ is continuous and verifies*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{S}(t)\phi_1 - \mathcal{S}(t)\phi_2\|_r &\leq C\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s, \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|\mathcal{S}(t)\phi_1 - \mathcal{S}(t)\phi_2\|_r &\leq C\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

with $\phi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j = 1, 2$.

4. If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\phi \in H_{per}^{r-4}$ and $\exists C > 0$, $\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\phi\|_{r-4} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\phi\|_s$, $\forall t > 0$, $\forall r \in R$. That is, $\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{r-4})$, $\forall t > 0$, $\forall r \in R$, where

$$\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\varphi = \left[\left((-k^4 - a)e^{(-k^4 - a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \in H_{per}^{r-4}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s, \forall r \in R.$$

5. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{S}(\cdot)\varphi \in C((0, \infty), H_{per}^{r-4})$, $\forall r \in R$
 6. The application: $\psi \longrightarrow \partial_t \mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_{r-4} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty), \quad \forall r \in R$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi_2\|_{r-4} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad \forall r \in R$$

with $\psi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof: Its proof is similar to the proof of second Corollary of Theorem 3.1, in which we use the continuous Sobolev embedding. $\square$

Next, we will state the Theorem 3.1 in terms of the semigroup $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $s \in R$, $a > 0$ and $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ the semigroup of class C_o from Theorem 3.2, then $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} u \in C([0, +\infty), H_{per}^s) \cap C^1((0, +\infty), H_{per}^{s-4}) \\ \partial_t u = Au \in H_{per}^{s-4} \\ u(0) = \psi \in H_{per}^s. \end{cases}$$

in the sense that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{S}(t+h)\psi - \mathcal{S}(t)\psi}{h} - A\mathcal{S}(t)\psi \right\|_{s-4} = 0 \quad (3.34)$$

where $A := -\partial_x^4 - aI$, and if $\psi_1 \sim \psi_2$ then $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi_1 \sim \mathcal{S}(\cdot)\psi_2$.

In addition, the following regularity holds: if $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\mathcal{S}(t)\psi \in H_{per}^r$, $\forall r \in R$, $\forall t > 0$ and $\exists C > 0$, $\|\mathcal{S}(t)\psi\|_r \leq C\|\psi\|_s$, $\forall t > 0$, $\forall r \in R$.

Also, $\|\partial_t \mathcal{S}(t)\psi\|_{r-4} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi\|_s$, $\forall t > 0$, $\forall r \in R$.

Proof: The proof of (3.34) is similar to item 4 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. And the proof of the remaining statement follows in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and as a consequence of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

4. Generalization of results to n-th order equation. In this section, we will generalize the results obtained in the previous section.

Theorem 4.1. Let s be a fixed real number, $a > 0$, n a natural divisible by four and

$$(P_\Sigma) \quad \begin{cases} u \in C([0, +\infty), H_{per}^s) \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x^n u + au = 0 \in H_{per}^{s-n} \\ u(0) = \psi \in H_{per}^s. \end{cases}$$

then (P_Σ) is globally well-posed, that is, $\exists! \mathcal{U} \in C([0, \infty), H_{per}^s) \cap C((0, \infty), H_{per}^{s-n})$ verifying equation (P_Σ) so that the application: $\psi \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$, which to every initial data ψ assigns the solution $\mathcal{U}$ of IVP (P_Σ) , is continuous.

Moreover, the solution $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{U}(t) \in H_{per}^r, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall r \in R$$

with $\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|_s \leq e^{-at} \cdot \|\psi\|_s$ and $\exists C > 0$, $\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|_r \leq C\|\psi\|_s$, $\forall r \in R$, $\forall t > 0$.

Also, $\|\partial_t \mathcal{U}(t)\|_{r-n} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi\|_s$, $\forall r \in R$, $\forall t > 0$.

Proof: Its proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Consequently, we obtain the following outcome.

Corollary 4.1. *The unique solution of (P_Σ) is*

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-k^n t} e^{-at} \widehat{\psi}(k) \varphi_k$$

where $\varphi_k(x) = e^{ikx}$ for $x \in R$.

Next, we define a family of operators which will verify the conditions of being a contraction semigroup of class C_o .

Theorem 4.2. *Let $s \in R$, $a > 0$, n an even number. We define the application*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_n : [0, \infty) &\rightarrow L(H_{per}^s) \\ t &\rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n(t) \end{aligned}$$

such that $\mathcal{T}_n(t)$ applies

$$\mathcal{T}_n(t)\varphi = \left[\left(e^{(-k^n - a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s,$$

then $\{\mathcal{T}_n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a contraction semigroup of class C_o on H_{per}^s and $\|\mathcal{T}_n(t)\| \leq e^{-at}$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Thus, $\{\mathcal{T}_n\}_{n \in M}$ is a family of semigroups on H_{per}^s where

$$M := \{n \in \mathbb{N} / n \text{ is an even number}\}.$$

And for simplicity we will denote to $\mathcal{T}_n$ as $\mathcal{T}$.

Additionally, the following statements hold:

1. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)\varphi \in C([0, \infty), H_{per}^s)$.
2. The application: $\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\cdot)\varphi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\|\mathcal{T}(t)\psi_1 - \mathcal{T}(t)\psi_2\|_s \leq e^{-at} \cdot \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty)$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|\mathcal{T}(t)\psi_1 - \mathcal{T}(t)\psi_2\|_s \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s$$

with $\psi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

3. $\mathcal{T}(t) \in L(H_{per}^s)$ and $\|\mathcal{T}(t)\Theta\|_s \leq e^{-at} \cdot \|\Theta\|_s$, $\forall \Theta \in H_{per}^s$, $\forall t \in [0, \infty)$.
4. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{T}(t)\varphi \in H_{per}^{s-n}$ and $\|\partial_t \mathcal{T}(t)\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq e^{-at} \cdot 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\varphi\|_s$, $\forall t \in (0, \infty)$. That is, $\partial_t \mathcal{T}(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{s-n})$, $\forall t \in (0, \infty)$, where

$$\partial_t \mathcal{T}(t)\varphi = \left[\left((-k^n - a)e^{(-k^n - a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \in H_{per}^{s-n}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s.$$

5. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\cdot)\varphi \in C((0, \infty), H_{per}^{s-n})$.
6. The application: $\psi \rightarrow \partial_t \mathcal{T}(\cdot)\psi$ is continuous and verifies:

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi_2\|_{s-n} \leq e^{-a\tau} \cdot 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad \forall \tau \in (0, \infty)$$

and

$$\sup_{\tau \in (0, \infty)} \|\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi_2\|_{s-n} \leq 2\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s$$

with $\psi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof: Its proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2

□

We will provide some additional properties of the family $\{\mathcal{T}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Corollary 4.2. *Based on the hypothesis of the previous Theorem, the following statements hold*

1. If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)\phi \in C((0, \infty), H_{per}^r)$, $\forall r \in R$.
2. The application: $\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\cdot)\phi$ is continuous and satisfies: $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}(t)\phi_1 - \mathcal{T}(t)\phi_2\|_r &\leq C \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s, \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty), \quad \forall r \in R, \\ \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \|\mathcal{T}(t)\phi_1 - \mathcal{T}(t)\phi_2\|_r &\leq C \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_s, \quad \forall r \in R \end{aligned}$$

with $\phi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j = 1, 2$.

3. $\mathcal{T}(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^r)$ and $\exists C > 0, \|\mathcal{T}(t)\theta\|_r \leq C\|\theta\|_s, \forall r \in R, \forall t > 0, \forall \theta \in H_{per}^s$.
4. If $\phi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{T}(t)\phi \in H_{per}^{r-n}$ and $\exists C > 0, \|\partial_t \mathcal{T}(t)\phi\|_{r-n} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\phi\|_s, \forall t > 0, \forall r \in R$. That is, $\partial_t \mathcal{T}(t) \in L(H_{per}^s, H_{per}^{r-n}), \forall t > 0, \forall r \in R$ where

$$\partial_t \mathcal{T}(t)\varphi = \left[\left((-k^n - a)e^{(-k^n - a)t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee \in H_{per}^{r-n}, \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s, \forall r \in R.$$

5. If $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\cdot)\varphi \in C((0, \infty), H_{per}^{r-n}), \forall r \in R$
6. The application: $\psi \longrightarrow \partial_t \mathcal{T}(\cdot)\psi$ is continuous and satisfies: $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi_2\|_{r-n} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \forall \tau \in (0, \infty), \forall r \in R,$$

and

$$\sup_{\tau \in (0, \infty)} \|\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi_1 - \partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi_2\|_{r-n} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \forall r \in R$$

with $\psi_j \in H_{per}^s$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof: Its proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 3.3. □

Now, we will state another version of Theorem 4.1 in terms of the semigroup $\{\mathcal{T}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $s \in R, a > 0, n$ a natural number multiple of four and $\{\mathcal{T}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ the semigroup of class C_o in Theorem 4.2, then $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)\psi$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} u \in C([0, +\infty), H_{per}^s) \cap C^1((0, +\infty), H_{per}^{s-n}) \\ \partial_t u = A_\Sigma u \in H_{per}^{s-n} \\ u(0) = \psi \in H_{per}^s. \end{cases}$$

in the sense that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{T}(\tau+h)\psi - \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi}{h} - A_\Sigma \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi \right\|_{s-n} = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

where $A_\Sigma := -\partial_x^n - aI$, and if $\psi_1 \sim \psi_2$ then $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)\psi_1 \sim \mathcal{T}(\cdot)\psi_2$.

Moreover, the following regularity holds: if $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\mathcal{T}(t)\psi \in H_{per}^r, \forall r \in R, \forall t > 0$ and $\exists C > 0, \|\mathcal{T}(t)\psi\|_r \leq C\|\psi\|_s, \forall t > 0, \forall r \in R$.

Also, if $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then $\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi \in H_{per}^{r-n}, \forall r \in R, \forall \tau \in (0, \infty)$ and $\exists C > 0, \|\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\tau)\psi\|_{r-n} \leq 2C\sqrt{\max\{1, a^2\}}\|\psi\|_s, \forall \tau > 0, \forall r \in R$.

Proof: Its proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3. □

Below we state some additional results that can be obtained.

Remark 4.1. Analogous results to Theorem 4.1 are obtained when n is an odd number, where the solution would be

$$v(t) = \left[\left(e^{-[(ik)^n + a]t} \widehat{\psi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee, t \geq 0$$

for the initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$.

Remark 4.2. Similar results to Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.2 are obtained when n is an odd number, where the family of operators introduced is

$$T(t)\varphi = \left[\left(e^{-[(ik)^n + a]t} \widehat{\varphi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right]^\vee, t \geq 0, \forall \varphi \in H_{per}^s.$$

Therefore, this family forms a contraction semigroup such that $\|T(t)\| \leq e^{-at}, \forall t \geq 0$; and the analogous version to Theorem 4.3 is valid. See [11].

Finally,

Remark 4.3. When n is an even number not divisible by four, the problem (P_Σ) has no solution.

5. Dissipative property of (P_1) and (P_Σ) . The properties that will be obtained in this section do not depend on the explicit form of the solution.

5.1. Dissipative property of (P_1) . Let s be a fixed real number, $a > 0$ and the problem

$$(P_1) \quad \begin{cases} w \in C([0, +\infty), H_{per}^s) \cap C^1((0, \infty), H_{per}^{s-4}) \\ \partial_t w + \partial_x^4 w + aw = 0 \in H_{per}^{s-3} \\ w(0) = \psi \in H_{per}^s. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.1. Let w be the solution of (P_1) with initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then we get the following results:

1. $\partial_t \|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 = -2\delta(t) - 2a\|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 \leq 0$, where

$$0 \leq \delta(t) = \delta(t, w) := 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} k^4 |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 = \|\partial_x^2 w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 \leq \|w(t)\|_s^2.$$

2. $\|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 = e^{-2at} \|w(0)\|_{s-4}^2 - 2 \int_0^t e^{-2a(t-\tau)} \delta(\tau) d\tau \leq e^{-2at} \|w(0)\|_{s-4}^2$, $t \geq 0$.

3. $\|w(t)\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \|\psi\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \|\psi\|_s \leq \|\psi\|_s$, $t \geq 0$.

4. $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|w(t)\|_{s-4} = 0$.

Proof: As $H_{per}^s \subset H_{per}^{s-4}$ then the following expressions: $\langle \partial_t w, w \rangle_{s-4}$ and $\langle w, \partial_t w \rangle_{s-4}$ are well-defined.

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 &= \partial_t \langle w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-4} \\ &= \langle \partial_t w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-4} + \langle w(t), \partial_t w(t) \rangle_{s-4} \\ &= 2\operatorname{Re} \langle \partial_t w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-4}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Also, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_x^4 w, w \rangle_{s-4} &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} \widehat{\partial_x^4 w}(k) \cdot \overline{\widehat{w}(k)} \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} (ik)^4 \widehat{w}(k) \cdot \overline{\widehat{w}(k)} \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} k^4 \widehat{w}(k) \cdot \overline{\widehat{w}(k)} \\ &= 2\pi \underbrace{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} k^4 |\widehat{w}(k)|^2}_{\delta:=} \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

At this point, we will prove the convergence of series (5.2). Indeed, using the inequality: $|k|^4 \leq |k|^8 = (|k|^2)^4 \leq (1+k^2)^4$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w(t) \in H_{per}^s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} k^4 |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 \right| &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} |k|^4 |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} (1+|k|^2)^4 |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|w(t)\|_s^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As $\widehat{\partial_x^2 w}(k) = (ik)^2 \widehat{w}(k) = -k^2 \widehat{w}(k)$ and $\overline{\widehat{\partial_x^2 w}(k)} = \overline{(ik)^2 \widehat{w}(k)} = -k^2 \overline{\widehat{w}(k)}$, then $\widehat{\partial_x^2 w}(k) \cdot \overline{\widehat{\partial_x^2 w}(k)} = k^4 |\widehat{w}(k)|^2$.

Therefore,

$$\delta(t, w) = \|\partial_x^2 w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 \leq \|w(t)\|_s^2. \quad (5.3)$$

Then the series (5.2) is convergent, that is,

$$\langle \partial_x^4 w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-4} = \delta \text{ with } \delta \geq 0. \quad (5.4)$$

From (5.1), using $\partial_t w = -\partial_x^4 w - aw$ and the equality (5.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 &= 2\operatorname{Re} \langle \partial_t w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-4} \\ &= 2\operatorname{Re} \{ \langle -\partial_x^4 w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-4} - a \langle w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-4} \} \\ &= -2 \underbrace{\operatorname{Re} \langle \partial_x^4 w(t), w(t) \rangle_{s-4}}_{=\delta(t)} - 2a \|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 \\ &= -2\delta(t) - 2a \|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2$ is not increasing. Then $\|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 \leq \|w(0)\|_{s-4}^2, \forall t \geq 0$.

As

$$(\|w(t)\|_{s-4} - \|w(0)\|_{s-4})(\|w(t)\|_{s-4} + \|w(0)\|_{s-4}) \leq 0,$$

we have

$$\|w(t)\|_{s-4} \leq \|w(0)\|_{s-4} \leq \|w(0)\|_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

That is,

$$\|w(t)\|_{s-4} \leq \|\psi\|_{s-4} \leq \|\psi\|_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

To be exact, solving the equation we obtain

$$\|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 = e^{-2at} \|w(0)\|_{s-4}^2 - 2e^{-2at} \int_0^t e^{2a\tau} \delta(\tau) d\tau = e^{-2at} \|w(0)\|_{s-4}^2 - 2 \underbrace{\int_0^t e^{-2a(t-\tau)} \delta(\tau) d\tau}_{\geq 0}.$$

That is, $\|w(t)\|_{s-4}^2 \leq e^{-2at} \|w(0)\|_{s-4}^2$ since $\delta \geq 0$.

Therefore,

$$0 \leq \|w(t)\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \|w(0)\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \|w(0)\|_s \leq \|w(0)\|_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Taking limit to $\|w(t)\|_{s-4}$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|w(t)\|_{s-4} = 0$ since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-at} = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 5.1 (Continuous dependence of the solution of (P_1)). Let u and v be solutions of (P_1) with initial data ψ_1 and ψ_2 in H_{per}^s , respectively. Then the following statements hold

$$\partial_t \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-4}^2 = -2a \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-4}^2 - 4\pi \underbrace{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-4} k^4 |\widehat{u}(k) - \widehat{v}(k)|^2}_{=2\delta_{u-v}(t)=2\|\partial_x^2[u-v](t)\|_{s-4}^2} \leq 0,$$

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-4}^2 = e^{-2at} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{s-4}^2 - 2 \int_0^t e^{-2a(t-\tau)} \delta_{u-v}(\tau) d\tau \leq e^{-2at} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{s-4}^2$$

and

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-4} \leq e^{-at} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{s-4} \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof: Define $w := u - v$ then w satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + \partial_x^4 w + aw = 0 \\ w(0) = \psi_1 - \psi_2. \end{cases}$$

We conclude using Theorem 5.1. $\square$

Corollary 5.2 (Uniqueness of solution of (P_1)). The (P_1) problem has a unique solution.

Proof: Indeed, let u and v be solutions of (P_1) with the same initial data, that is, $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi$.

From (5.5) we obtain $\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-4} \leq \|0\|_s = 0$. Then $\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-4} = 0$. So, $u(t) = v(t), \forall t \geq 0$, that is, $u = v$. $\square$

5.2. Dissipative property of (P_Σ) . Let s be a fixed real number, $a > 0$ and the problem

$$(P_\Sigma) \quad \begin{cases} w \in C([0, +\infty), H_{per}^s) \cap C^1((0, \infty), H_{per}^{s-n}) \\ \partial_t w + \partial_x^n w + aw = 0 \in H_{per}^{s-n} \\ w(0) = \varphi \in H_{per}^s. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.2. Let n be a natural number multiple of four and w the solution of (P_Σ) with initial data $\varphi \in H_{per}^s$ then we obtain the following results:

1. $\partial_t \|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 = -2\delta_n(t) - 2a\|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 \leq 0$, where

$$0 \leq \delta_n(t) = \delta(t, w) := 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} k^n |\widehat{w}(k)|^2 = \|\partial_x^{\frac{n}{2}} w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 \leq \|w(t)\|_s^2.$$

2. $\|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 = e^{-2at} \|w(0)\|_{s-n}^2 - 2 \int_0^t e^{-2a(t-\tau)} \delta_n(\tau) d\tau \leq e^{-2at} \|w(0)\|_{s-n}^2, t \geq 0.$

3. $\|w(t)\|_{s-n} \leq e^{-at} \|\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq e^{-at} \|\varphi\|_s \leq \|\varphi\|_s, t \geq 0.$

4. $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|w(t)\|_{s-n} = 0.$

Proof: It is analogous to the proof of Theorem 5.1, noting $(ik)^n = k^n \forall k \in Z$ when n is a natural number multiple of four. $\square$

Corollary 5.3 (Continuous dependence of the solution of (P_Σ)). Let u and v be solutions of (P_Σ) with initial data ψ_1 and ψ_2 in H_{per}^s , respectively. Then the following statements hold

$$\partial_t \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n}^2 = -2a\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n}^2 - 4\pi \underbrace{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} k^n |\widehat{u}(k) - \widehat{v}(k)|^2}_{=2\delta_n(t)=2\|\partial_x^{\frac{n}{2}}[u-v](t)\|_{s-n}^2} \leq 0,$$

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n}^2 = e^{-2at} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{s-n}^2 - 2 \int_0^t e^{-2a(t-\tau)} \delta_n(\tau, u-v) d\tau \leq e^{-2at} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{s-n}^2$$

and

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n} \leq e^{-at} \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{s-n} \leq \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_s, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof: Define $w := u - v$ then w satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + \partial_x^n w + aw = 0 \\ w(0) = \psi_1 - \psi_2. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 5.2 we conclude the proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.4 (Uniqueness of solution of (P_Σ)). The Cauchy problem (P_Σ) possesses uniqueness of solution.

Proof: Indeed, let u and v be solutions of (P_Σ) with the same initial data, that is, $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi$.

From (5.6) we obtain $\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n} \leq \|0\|_s = 0$. Then $\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{s-n} = 0$. So, $u(t) = v(t), \forall t \geq 0$, that is, $u = v$. $\square$

Below we state some additional results that can be obtained.

Remark 5.1. Similar results to Theorem 5.2, Corollaries 5.3 and 5.4 are also obtained when n is an odd number, in this case, note that $(ik)^n = \pm ik^n, \forall k \in Z$ and

$$\langle \partial_x^n w, w \rangle_{s-n} = \begin{cases} -i\gamma & \text{if } n-1 \text{ is not multiple of four} \\ i\gamma & \text{if } n-1 \text{ is multiple of four} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\gamma := 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{s-n} k^n |\widehat{w}(k)|^2.$$

Therefore

1. $\partial_t \|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2 = -2a\|w(t)\|_{s-n}^2.$

2. $\|w(t)\|_{s-n} = e^{-at} \|\varphi\|_{s-n} \leq e^{-at} \|\varphi\|_s \leq \|\varphi\|_s, t \geq 0.$

3. $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|w(t)\|_{s-n} = 0$

hold. See [11].

6. Differentiability analysis versus initial data of (P_1) and (P_Σ) . In order to increase and enrich our study, we will investigate the infinite-dimensional space in which differentiability occurs and its connection to the initial data for both cases: $a > 0$ and $a = 0$.

6.1. Differentiability analysis versus initial data of (P_1) . In this subsection, we will analyze the solution of (P_1) . Here the regularity is broader for $t > 0$, that is, it holds for all real r ; compared to what happens for the odd-order equation.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 0$. If $t > 0$ and u is the solution of (P_1) with initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(t) + au(t) \right\|_r = 0$$

holds. This means that differentiability occurs in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $\partial_t u(t) = -\partial_x^4 u(t) - au(t)$ in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall t > 0$.

Proof: Let $t > 0$, $t+h > 0$ and $\psi \in H_{per}^s$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(t) + au(t) \right\|_r^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^r e^{-2k^4 t} e^{-2at} \left| \frac{e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1}{h} + k^4 + a \right|^2 |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{r-s} e^{-2k^4 t} e^{-2at} \underbrace{\left| \frac{e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1}{h} + k^4 + a \right|^2}_{\mathcal{M}(h):=} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 (1+k^2)^s. \quad (6.1) \end{aligned}$$

Using L'Hôpital's rule we have $\mathcal{M}(h) \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$.

To ensure the interchange of limits, we need the uniform convergence of the series (6.1). For this, we will bound the k -th term of the series. First, using the Mean Value Theorem to the function $f(t) = e^{-tk^4} e^{-at}$ on the interval $[0, h]$ with $h > 0$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1}{h} \right| \leq k^4 + a. \quad (6.2)$$

Using the inequality (6.2), we are going to bound $|\mathcal{M}(h)|^2$ in the following way:

$$|\mathcal{M}(h)|^2 \leq \{2(k^4 + a)\}^2 = 4(k^4 + a)^2 \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\} (1+k^2)^4. \quad (6.3)$$

Applying the Mean Value Theorem to the function f on the interval $[h, 0]$ with $h < 0$, we have that exists $\xi \in (h, 0)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1}{h} \right| \leq (k^4 + a) e^{-\xi(k^4+a)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Using the inequality (6.4), we are going to bound $|\mathcal{M}(h)|^2$ in the following way:

$$|\mathcal{M}(h)|^2 \leq (a + k^4)^2 \left(e^{-\xi(k^4+a)} + 1 \right)^2 \leq 2(a + k^4)^2 \left(e^{-2\xi(k^4+a)} + 1 \right). \quad (6.5)$$

Therefore, for $h \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and $t+h > 0$, there exists $\xi < 0$, with $|\xi|$ small enough such that

$$|\mathcal{M}(h)|^2 \leq 2(a + k^4)^2 \left(e^{-2\xi(k^4+a)} + 1 \right). \quad (6.6)$$

Thus, we bound the k -th term of the series, where we use inequality (6.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{k,t,r} &:= (1+k^2)^{r-s} e^{-2k^4 t} e^{-2at} |\mathcal{M}(h)|^2 |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 (1+k^2)^s \\ &\leq \underbrace{2(1+k^2)^{r-s} (k^4 + a)^2 e^{-2k^4 t} e^{-2at} \left(e^{-2\xi(k^4+a)} + 1 \right)}_{\mathcal{G}(t,r):=} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 (1+k^2)^s \end{aligned}$$

and since $|\mathcal{G}(t, r)| \leq C$, $\forall (t, r) \in [\epsilon, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough.

On the other hand, we know that the series converges

$$2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 = \|\psi\|_s^2 < \infty$$

since $\psi \in H_{per}^s$.

Thus, using the Weierstrass M-Test, we have that the series (6.1) converges uniformly and consequently it is possible to exchange limits and obtain

$$\left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(t) + au(t) \right\|_r^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

when $h \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, $\left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(t) + au(t) \right\|_r \longrightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Theorem 6.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 0$. If u is the solution of (P_1) with initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then for all $r \leq s - 4$ it holds

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{u(h) - \psi}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(0) + au(0) \right\|_r = 0.$$

This means that differentiability occurs in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \leq s - 4$. That is, $\partial_{t^+} u(0) = -\partial_x^4 u(0) - au(0)$ in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \leq s - 4$.

Proof: Let $h > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{u(h) - \psi}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(0) + au(0) \right\|_r^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^r \left| \underbrace{\frac{e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1}{h} + k^4 + a}_{\mathcal{M}(h)} \right|^2 |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Using L'Hôpital's rule, we have $\mathcal{M}(h) \longrightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$.

To ensure the interchange of limits, we need the uniform convergence of the series (6.7). For this, we will bound the k -th term of the series. Thus, using inequality (6.3) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,r} = (1+k^2)^r |\mathcal{M}(h)|^2 |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\} (1+k^2)^{r+4} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2,$$

On the other hand, we know that the series converges

$$2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^s |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 = \|\psi\|_s^2 < \infty$$

since $\psi \in H_{per}^s$.

Then, using continuous immersion in periodic Sobolev spaces, that is, $H_{per}^s \subset H_{per}^{r+4}$ for $r \leq s - 4$, we obtain

$$8\pi \cdot \max\{1, a^2\} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+k^2)^{r+4} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 = 4 \max\{1, a^2\} \|\psi\|_{r+4}^2 \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\} \|\psi\|_s^2 < \infty, \quad \forall r \leq s - 4.$$

Thus, using the Weierstrass M-Test, we have that the series (6.7) converges uniformly and consequently it is possible to exchange limits and obtain

$$\left\| \frac{u(h) - u(0)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(0) + au(0) \right\|_r^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

when $h \rightarrow 0^+$.

Finally, $\left\| \frac{u(h) - u(0)}{h} + \partial_x^4 u(0) + au(0) \right\|_r \longrightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0^+$. $\square$

Theorem 6.3. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$ and $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then the following statements are equivalent

1. There exists $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{S(h) - I}{h} \right) \psi$ in $(H_{per}^s, \|\cdot\|_s)$.
2. $\psi \in H_{per}^{s+4}$.

Proof: Suppose that item 1 holds, then $A = -\partial_x^4 - aI$ is the infinitesimal generator of the contraction semigroup $\{\mathcal{S}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Thus, $A\psi = -\partial_x^4\psi - a\psi \in H_{per}^s$, from which we obtain

$$(1 + \partial_x^4)\psi = \psi + \partial_x^4\psi \in H_{per}^s. \quad (6.8)$$

Applying the Fourier transform to (6.8) we have

$$\left((1 + k^4)\widehat{\psi}(k) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l_s^2. \quad (6.9)$$

Using that the $|\cdot|_p$ p-norms, with $p \in [1, \infty]$, are equivalent in R^2 , we have $|(1, k)|_2 \leq \sqrt{2}|(1, k)|_4, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$; then

$$|(1, k)|_2^8 \leq 16|(1, k)|_4^8, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

That is,

$$(1 + k^2)^4 \leq 16(1 + k^4)^2, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6.10)$$

Using (6.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{s+4}^2 &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^{s+4} \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^s (1 + k^2)^4 \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &\leq 32\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^s (1 + k^4)^2 \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &= 32\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^s \left| (1 + k^4)\widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 \\ &= 16 \cdot \|(1 + \partial_x^4)\psi\|_s^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\psi \in H_{per}^{s+4}$.

Reciprocally, if $\psi \in H_{per}^{s+4}$,

$$\left\| \frac{\mathcal{S}(h)\psi - \psi}{h} + \partial_x^4\psi + a\psi \right\|_s^2 = 2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^s \left| \underbrace{\frac{e^{(-k^4-a)h} - 1}{h} + k^4 + a}_{\mathcal{M}(h)} \right|^2 \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2. \quad (6.11)$$

Using L'Hôpital's rule we have $\mathcal{M}(h) \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$.

To ensure the interchange of limits, we need the uniform convergence of the series (6.11). For this, we will bound the k-th term of the series. Thus, using inequality (6.3) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,s} = (1 + k^2)^s |\mathcal{M}(h)|^2 \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 \leq 4 \max\{1, a^2\} (1 + k^2)^{s+4} \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2.$$

On the other hand, we know that the series converges

$$2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^{s+4} \left| \widehat{\psi}(k) \right|^2 = \|\psi\|_{s+4}^2 < \infty$$

since $\psi \in H_{per}^{s+4}$.

Thus, using the Weierstrass M-Test, we have that the series (6.11) converges uniformly and consequently it is possible to exchange limits and obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\mathcal{S}(h)\psi - \psi}{h} + \partial_x^4\psi + a\psi \right\|_s^2 \rightarrow 0$$

when $h \rightarrow 0^+$.

Finally, $\left\| \frac{\mathcal{S}(h)\psi - \psi}{h} + \partial_x^4\psi + a\psi \right\|_s \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0^+$. That is, $\exists \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{S}(h)\psi - \psi}{h} = -\partial_x^4\psi - a\psi$ in $(H_{per}^s, \|\cdot\|_s)$. $\square$

6.2. Differentiability analysis versus initial data of (P_Σ) . In this subsection, we will analyze the solution of (P_Σ) .

Theorem 6.4. Let n be a natural number multiple of four, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 0$. If $t > 0$ and u is the solution of (P_Σ) with initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^n u(t) + au(t) \right\|_r = 0$$

holds. This means that differentiability occurs in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $\partial_t u(t) = -\partial_x^n u(t) - au(t)$ in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall t > 0$.

Proof: Its proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.1. $\square$

Theorem 6.5. Let n be a natural number multiple of four, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 0$. If u is the solution of (P_Σ) with initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then for all $r \leq s - n$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{u(h) - \psi}{h} + \partial_x^n u(0) + au(0) \right\|_r = 0$$

holds. This means that differentiability occurs in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \leq s - n$. That is, $\partial_{t+} u(0) = -\partial_x^n u(0) - au(0)$ in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \leq s - n$.

Proof: Its proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.2. $\square$

Theorem 6.6. Let n be a natural number multiple of four, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$ and $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then the following statements are equivalent

1. There exists $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}(h) - I}{h} \right) \psi$ in $(H_{per}^s, \|\cdot\|_s)$.
2. $\psi \in H_{per}^{s+n}$.

Proof: Its proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.3. $\square$

Below we state some additional results that can be obtained.

Remark 6.1. Results close to Theorems 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6 are obtained when n is an odd number. See [11].

6.3. Differentiability analysis versus initial data of (P_Σ) when $a = 0$. Next, to complete the study done in [3], we will analyze the relationship that exists between differentiability and initial data, for the case $a = 0$.

Theorem 6.7. Let n be a natural number multiple of four, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a = 0$. If $t > 0$ and u is the solution of (P_Σ) with initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \partial_x^n u(t) \right\|_r = 0$$

holds. This means that differentiability occurs in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $\partial_t u(t) = -\partial_x^n u(t)$ in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall t > 0$.

Proof: Its proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.1. $\square$

Theorem 6.8. Let n be a natural number multiple of four, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a = 0$. If u is the solution of (P_Σ) with initial data $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then for all $r \leq s - n$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{u(h) - \psi}{h} + \partial_x^n \psi \right\|_r = 0$$

holds. This means that differentiability occurs in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \leq s - n$. That is, $\partial_{t+} u(0) = -\partial_x^n \psi$ in H_{per}^r , $\forall r \leq s - n$.

Proof: Its proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.2. $\square$

Theorem 6.9. Let n be a natural number multiple of four, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $a = 0$ and $\psi \in H_{per}^s$ then the following statements are equivalent

1. There exists $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}(h) - I}{h} \right) \psi$ in $(H_{per}^s, \|\cdot\|_s)$.
2. $\psi \in H_{per}^{s+n}$.

Proof: Its proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.3. $\square$

Below we state some additional results that are obtained.

Remark 6.2. Results close to Theorems 6.7, 6.8 and 6.9 are obtained when n is an odd number. See [11].

7. Conclusions. Based on Fourier theory, we rigorously demonstrated the existence and uniqueness of solution to the (P_1) model, along with the continuous dependence of the solution with respect to the initial data. Moreover, compared to the third-order case, in the fourth-order case we obtained more regularity, that is, $u(t) \in H_{per}^r, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$. Subsequently, we introduced the semigroup theory to rewrite the solution of the (P_1) problem using this theory, rendering it much more fine. We used semigroups theory and got important results of existence and approximation. We generalized the results for the n th order equation when n is a natural number multiple of four.

We proved also the dissipative property of (P_1) , which enabled us to deduce the continuous dependence (with respect to the initial data) and uniqueness solution of (P_1) , without using the explicit form of the solution. We obtained results analogous to Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 for the n th order equation when n is a natural number multiple of four. Thus, we gave some remarks about the n th order equation when n is an odd number. Moreover, to increase and enrich our study of (P_1) , we analyzed the infinite-dimensional space in which differentiability occurs and its connection to the initial data. Finally, we generalized the results obtained for $a \geq 0$.

Funding. This research received no external funding

Conflicts of interest. The author declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID and License

Yolanda Silvia Santiago Ayala <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2516-0871>

This work is licensed under the [Creative Commons - Attribution 4.0 International \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

References

- [1] Iorio Jr RJ, Iorio V de M. Fourier analysis and partial differential equation. Cambridge University; 2001.
- [2] Santiago Y, Rojas S. Uniqueness solution of the heat equation in Sobolev Periodic Spaces. Selecciones Matemáticas. 2020; 7(1):172-175. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.17268/sel.mat.2020.01.16>
- [3] Ayala YSS. Wellposedness of a Cauchy problem associated to the even order equation. European Journal of Applied Sciences. 2024; 12(6):512-530. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.14738/aivp.126.17983>
- [4] Ayala YSS, Romero SCR. Existence and continuous dependence of the local solution of non homogeneous KdV-K-S equation in periodic Sobolev spaces. J. of Mathematical Sciences: Advances and Applications. 2021; 64(1):1-19. Available from: https://doi.org/10.18642/jmsaa_7100122161
- [5] Ayala YSS. Semigroup of weakly continuous operators associated to a generalized Schrödinger equation. J. of Applied Mathematics and Physics. 2023; 11(4):1061-1076. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2023.114070>
- [6] Liu Z, Zheng S. Semigroups associated with dissipative system. Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York; 1999.
- [7] Pazy A. Semigroups of linear operator and applications to partial differential equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences. 44 Springer Verlag, Berlín; 1983.
- [8] Reed M, Simon B. Functional analysis. Academic Press; 1972.
- [9] Ayala YSS. On the wellposedness of the KDV-K-S equation in periodic Sobolev spaces. Trajetórias e perspectivas para a pesquisa em matemática. 2022; 54-86. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed.5432206125>
- [10] Santiago Y, Rojas S. Existencia y regularidad de solución de la ecuación del calor en espacios de Sobolev periódico. Selecciones Matemáticas. 2019; 6(1):49-65. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.17268/sel.mat.2019.01.08>
- [11] Santiago Y. Well-posedness for a third-order PDE with dissipation. Selecciones Matemáticas. 2025; 12(2):288-308. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.17268/sel.mat.2025.02.03>